

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,386	07/09/2003	Coralie Nguyen	895-011153-US(C01)	9781
2512	7590 06/02/2005		EXAM	INER
PERMAN & GREEN 425 POST ROAD FAIRFIELD, CT 06824			NGUYEN, CHAU N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2831	
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

t		≠ ∃			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/616,386	NGUYEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chau N. Nguyen	2831			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIC SET TO EVOIDE 2 N	AONTH(S) EDOM			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2003</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
	Adminier. Note the attache	d Office Action of form F10-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen	to boug boom working				
1. Certified copies of the priority document2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
application from the International Burea					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7-9-03. 	_	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/616,386 Page 2

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-4, drawn to a cylindrical coupling sleeve, classified in class 174,
 subclass 88 R.
 - II. Claims 5-8, drawn to a method for connection of a mineral-insulated coaxial cablewith a coaxial device, classified in class 29, subclass 828.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions Group II and Group I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the invention of Group II can be practice by another and materially different apparatus such a connector having the edges solder to the external perimeter of the end of the cable and/or the invention of Group I can be used to practice another and materially different process such as soldering directly the second entry to the external perimeter of the matching device.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II and vice versa, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2831

4. During a telephone conversation with Janik Marcovici on May 10, 2005 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-4.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 5-8 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the feature of the hole being plugged by a solder drop as claimed in claim 3 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must

Art Unit: 2831

be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed July 9th 2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 1, line 2, change "being" to --be--. Also in claim 1, the statement followed the phrase "may be" is not a positive recitation, therefore it does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,386 Page 5

Art Unit: 2831

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Volker

(3,458,648).

Volker discloses a cylindrical coupling sleeve (Figures 1-5) comprising a first entry with a first diameter adapted to be mounted on an external conductive perimeter of a first mineral-insulated coaxial cable (10), the sleeve comprising a second entry with a second diameter adapted to a matching coaxial device to be connected to the first cable, wherein the first entry and the second entry do not have the same internal diameters (re claim 1). Volker also discloses a hole (26) between the first and second entries to enable a filling of an internal space defined between the entries and to be capable of being plugged after filling (re claim 2) and the matching device being a coaxial connector (10.1) held in a holder (20) (re claim 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Volker in view of Telco (5,025,553).

Volker discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the hole being plugged by a solder drop. Telco discloses an invention relating to providing solder drop (18, 20) in an opening hole to keep a continuous electrical connection between conductive elements. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to apply the teaching of Telco in the system of Volker, such as providing solder drop in the holes instead of balls and clamps, to simplify the step of closing the holes and to provide a continuous electrical connection between the coaxial cable and the matching coaxial device.

Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau N. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1980. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

Art Unit: 2831

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chau N Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2831

Clayfor